UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TEDDY LEROY WILSON, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ISMAEL BRIZYELA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 06cv2420-WQH (BLM)

ORDER (1) DENYING FOURTH
REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF
COUNSEL, (2) GRANTING REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME, AND
(3) AMENDING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE ORDER REGULATING
DISCOVERY AND OTHER PRETRIAL
PROCEEDINGS

[Doc. Nos. 57 & 59]

On June 4, 2008, Plaintiff filed a request for appointment of counsel [Doc. No. 57] and a request for extension of time [Doc. No. 59].

The instant request for appointment of counsel is Plaintiff's fourth. See Doc. Nos. 18, 31, 37. The request does not differ in any substantive way from the previous three requests, all of which have been denied. See Doc. Nos. 22, 33, 39. Because nothing presented in the instant request alters this Court's conclusion that Plaintiff has failed to allege the requisite "exceptional circumstances," Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of America, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004), Plaintiff's fourth request is DENIED. Plaintiff is hereby warned that

the Court will not accept further requests for assistance of counsel unless Plaintiff makes the required showing of exceptional circumstances.

In Plaintiff's request for an extension of time, Plaintiff seeks additional time to comply with the Court's March 21, 2008 Case Management Conference Order Regulating Discovery and Other Pretrial Proceedings ("Scheduling Order"). Plaintiff explains that he presently is serving a sixty-day term for a parole violation and has limited access to the law library. He also claims that, prior to his incarceration, his wife threw him out of the house and refuses to relinquish his legal files.

The Court finds that Plaintiff has shown good cause for a continuance of the pending dates set forth in the Scheduling Order and, therefore, GRANTS Plaintiff's request for an extension of time. However, Plaintiff is cautioned that because this case has been pending for over a year and a half and because Plaintiff has been given numerous extensions of time, no further extensions of time will be granted absent a showing of good cause.

The Scheduling Order is amended as follows:

1. Each party shall serve on all opposing parties a list of experts whom that party expects to call at trial, on or before <u>September 19, 2008</u>. Each party may supplement its designation in response to the other party's designation no later than <u>October 3, 2008</u>. Expert designations shall include the name, address, and telephone number of each expert and a reasonable summary of the testimony the expert is expected to provide. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony.

///

The parties must identify <u>any</u> person who may be used at trial to present evidence pursuant to Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. This requirement is <u>not</u> limited to retained experts.

Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.

2. All expert disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) shall be served on all parties on or before **November 21, 2008**. Any contradictory or rebuttal information shall be disclosed on or before **December 5, 2008**. In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1) imposes a duty on the parties to supplement the expert disclosures made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by the time that pretrial disclosures are due under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) (discussed below).

The parties are advised to consult with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) regarding expert disclosures. Such disclosures shall include an expert report, all supporting materials, a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis and reasons therefor, the data or other information considered by the expert in forming the opinions, any exhibits to be used as a summary of or as support for the opinions, the qualifications of the witness including a list of all publications authored by the witness within the preceding ten years, the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony, and a list of other cases in which the witness has testified as an expert at trial or by deposition within the preceding four years.

This disclosure requirement applies to all persons retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony, or whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve the giving of expert testimony.

Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.

January 18, 2009. "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Counsel shall promptly and in good faith meet and confer with regard to all discovery disputes in compliance with Civil Local Rules 16.5(k) and 26.1(a). All discovery motions shall be filed within thirty (30) days after counsel have met and conferred and reached an impasse with regard to any particular discovery issue, but in no event shall discovery motions be filed more than sixty (60) days after the date upon which the event giving rise to the discovery dispute occurred. For oral discovery, the event giving rise to the discovery dispute is the completion of the transcript of the affected portion of the deposition. For written discovery, the event giving rise to the discovery dispute is either the service of the response, or, if no response was served, the initial date the response was due. In addition, all discovery motions must be filed within thirty (30) days after the close of discovery.

4. All other pretrial motions must be filed on or before <u>March</u> 16, 2009. Motions will not be heard or calendared unless counsel for the moving party has obtained a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. Failure to timely request a

motion date may result in the motion not being heard. Motions will not be heard unless you have obtained a date from the judge's law clerk.

Questions regarding this case should be directed to the judge's law clerk. The Court draws the parties' attention to Local Rule 7.1(e)(4) which requires that the parties allot additional time for service of motion papers by mail. Papers not complying with this rule shall not be accepted for filing.

Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of the judge who will hear the motion. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of the judge who will hear the motion.

- 5. A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on October 6, 2008 at 9:30 a.m. in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Barbara L. Major located at 940 Front Street, Suite 5140, San Diego, CA 92101. All discussions at the Mandatory Settlement Conference will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential. Counsel for any non-English speaking party is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.
- a. Personal Appearance of Parties Required: Due to the circumstances of this case, Plaintiff and Defendants' counsel are ordered to appear in person. The defendant officers shall appear by telephone. All parties must be legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case. Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and may also result in the immediate termination of the conference.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

personal attendance. Requests for excuse from attendance for extraordinary circumstances shall be made <u>in writing</u> at least three (3) court days prior to the conference. Failure to appear <u>in person</u> at the Mandatory Settlement Conference will be grounds for sanctions.

Full Settlement Authority Required: b. In addition to counsel who will try the case, a party or party representative with full <u>settlement authority</u> must be present for the conference. In the case of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount up to the amount of the Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the conference without consulting a superior. Counsel for a government entity may be excused from this requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the Mandatory Settlement Conference (1) has primary responsibility for handling the case, and (2) may negotiate settlement offers which the attorney is willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.

[&]quot;Full settlement authority" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to explore settlement options fully and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference contemplates that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. See Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

- c. <u>Confidential Settlement Statements Required</u>: No later than <u>September 29, 2008</u>, the parties shall submit directly to Magistrate Judge Major's chambers confidential settlement statements no more than five (5) pages in length. <u>These confidential statements shall not be filed or served on opposing counsel.</u> Each party's confidential statement must include the following:
- (i) A brief description of the case, the claims and/or counterclaims asserted, and the applicable defenses or position regarding the asserted claims;
- (ii) A specific and current demand or offer for settlement addressing all relief or remedies sought. If a specific demand or offer for settlement cannot be made at the time the brief is submitted, then the reasons therefore must be stated along with a statement as to when the party will be in a position to state a demand or make an offer; and
- (iii) A brief description of any previous settlement negotiations, mediation sessions, or mediation efforts.

General statements that a party will "negotiate in good faith" is not a specific demand or offer contemplated by this Order. It is assumed that all parties will negotiate in good faith.

d. Requests to Continue a Mandatory Settlement Conference:

Any request to continue the Mandatory Settlement Conference or request for relief from any of the provisions or requirements of this Order must be sought by a written ex parte application. The application must (1) be supported by a declaration of counsel setting forth the reasons and justifications for the relief requested, (2) confirm compliance with Civil Local Rule 26.1, and (3) report the position of opposing counsel or any unrepresented parties subject to the Order. Absent extraordinary

circumstances, requests for continuances will <u>not</u> be considered unless submitted <u>in writing</u> no fewer than seven (7) days prior to the scheduled conference.

If the case is settled in its entirety before the scheduled date of the conference, counsel and any unrepresented parties must still appear in person, unless a written joint motion confirming the complete settlement of the case is submitted no fewer than twenty-four (24) hours before the scheduled conference.

- 6. If Plaintiff is incarcerated in a penal institution or other facility, the Plaintiff's presence is not required at conferences before Judge Major, and the Plaintiff may appear by telephone. In that case, defense counsel is to coordinate the Plaintiff's appearance by telephone.
- 7. The parties must comply with the pretrial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) no later than <u>June 22, 2009</u>. The parties should consult Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) for the substance of the required disclosures.

Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of designated matters in evidence.

- 8. No Memoranda of Contentions of Fact and Law are to be filed unless so ordered by the Court.
- 9. Counsel shall confer and take the action required by Local Rule 16.1(f)(4) on or before <u>June 29, 2009</u>. At this meeting, counsel shall discuss and attempt to enter into stipulations and agreements resulting in simplification of the triable issues. Counsel shall exchange copies and/or display all exhibits other than those to be used

for impeachment, lists of witnesses and their addresses including experts who will be called to testify, and written contentions of applicable facts and law. The exhibits shall be prepared in accordance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(2)(c). Counsel shall cooperate in the preparation of the proposed final pretrial conference order.

- 10. The proposed final pretrial conference order, including written objections, if any, to any party's Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) pretrial disclosures, shall be prepared, served, and submitted to the Clerk's Office on or before <u>July 6, 2009</u> and shall be in the form prescribed in and in compliance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(6). Any objections shall comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). Please be advised that the failure to file written objections to a party's pretrial disclosures may result in the waiver of such objections, with the exception of those made pursuant to Rules 402 (relevance) and 403 (prejudice, confusion or waste of time) of the Federal Rules of Evidence.
- 11. The final pretrial conference is scheduled on the calendar of the Honorable William Q. Hayes on <u>July 13, 2009</u> at <u>11:00 a.m</u>. The trial date will be assigned by Judge Hayes at the pretrial conference.
- 12. The dates and times set forth herein will not be modified except for good cause shown.

22 ///

23 / / /

24 | ///

25 / / /

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

The Court cautions Plaintiff that all correspondence with the Court must be in the form of filed pleadings, not letters, and reminds Plaintiff that, even though he is proceeding pro se, he still must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of this Court. Additionally, Plaintiff must serve all pleadings on opposing counsel and attach a proof of service confirming that he has complied with this requirement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 10, 2008

BARBARA L. MAJOR

United States Magistrate Judge

COPY TO:

HONORABLE WILLIAM Q. HAYES U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

ALL COUNSEL